

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,267	07/09/2003	Jason R. Sullivan	BSI-350US1	1701	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 12/07/2007 STIA		EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980			SEVERSON, RYAN J		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	12/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
امدا	Advisory Action	10/616,267	SULLIVAN ET AL.				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ryan Severson	3731				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) More period for reply expires <u>4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
D)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. [but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because			
	 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
==	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. [the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12.	10 Table 41 at 1 to 6 meeting Directions (At 1 to 7 t						
13.	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	Todd E. Manus						
	•	5	SPE 3731				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Regarding applicants argument that the rings are not disposed along the length of the stent (independent claims 55, 65, 72, 81), Examiner asserts that the rings are in fact spaced apart, and therefore must lie in the direction of the stent, or along the length of the stent. There are no limitations in the claims pertaining to the specific length of the stent (as the stent is unclaimed altogether) and also applicant does not claim the protuberances lie along the entire length of the stent. Regarding applicants argument that the protuberances engage the distal end of the stent (independent claims 85 and 87), Examiner asserts that the limitation is merely functional and the protuberances are capable of engaging the distal end of the stent because again applicant has not positively claimed the stent.